

Analysis Part 7

www.mathtuition88.com

November 5, 2016

Book: Measure and Integral by Wheeden and Zygmund

9 Chapter 9

9.1 Q4

(a)

We will prove by induction that

$$h^{(n)}(x) = \begin{cases} p_n(x^{-1})e^{-x^{-2}} & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

where $p_n(x^{-1})$ is a polynomial in x^{-1} .

(Base case: $n = 1$.)

If $x < 0$, it is clear that $h'(x) = 0$.

If $x > 0$, $h'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(e^{-x^{-2}}) = 2x^{-3}e^{-x^{-2}}$, where $p_1(x^{-1}) = 2x^{-3}$.

If $x = 0$, the left derivative $h'_-(0) = 0$ and the right derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
h'_+(0) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h(x) - h(0)}{x - 0} \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-x^{-2}}}{x} \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x^{-1}}{e^{x^{-2}}} \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-x^{-2}}{-2x^{-3}e^{x^{-2}}} && \text{(L'Hopital's Rule)} \\
&= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x}{2e^{x^{-2}}} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(Inductive Step) Assume that for some $k \geq 1$, $h^{(k)}(x) = p_k(x^{-1})e^{-x^{-2}}$ if $x > 0$, 0 otherwise.

If $x > 0$, using Product Rule, we can see that $h^{(k+1)}(x) = p_{k+1}(x^{-1})e^{-x^{-2}}$ for some polynomial p_{k+1} .

If $x < 0$, again clearly $h^{(k+1)}(x) = 0$.

If $x = 0$, $h_-^{(k+1)}(x) = 0$ while the right derivative

$$h_+^{(k+1)}(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p_k(x^{-1})e^{-x^{-2}}}{x}.$$

Note that $p_k(x^{-1}) = \frac{g(x)}{x^m}$ for some polynomial $g(x)$ and some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

So

$$h_+^{(k+1)}(x) = \left(\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} g(x) \right) \left(\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-x^{-2}}}{x^{m+1}} \right) = 0$$

since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{e^{-x^{-2}}}{x^{m+1}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x^{-m-1}}{e^{x^{-2}}} = 0$$

by repeated application of L'Hopital's Rule.

Thus, by Mathematical Induction, h is in C^∞ .

(b)

Let $\phi(x) = x - a$. Clearly ϕ is C^∞ . Then $h(x - a) = h(\phi(x))$ is C^∞ since it is the composition of h and ϕ . Similarly, $h(b - x)$ is C^∞ . Then $g(x) = h(x - a)h(b - x)$ is C^∞ (we can see this by repeated usage of product rule, or the general Leibniz rule).

If $x \leq a$, then $x - a \leq 0$ so that $h(x - a) = 0$. If $x \geq b$, then $b - x \leq 0$ so that $h(b - x) = 0$. If $a < x < b$, then $x - a > 0$ and $b - x > 0$ so that $g(x) > 0$.

So $\text{supp}(g) = \overline{(a, b)} = [a, b]$.

(c)

Support is a ball:

Define $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(r^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2))$, where $r > 0$.

Then $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(g) = \overline{B_r(0)}$, the (closed) ball with radius r centered at the origin.

Support is an interval:

Define $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n [h(x_i - a_i)h(b_i - x_i)]$ for $a_i < b_i$.

Then $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp}(g) = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$.

9.2 Q5

Lemma 9.2.1. We can choose an open G_2 such that $\overline{G_1} \subset G_2$, and $\overline{G_2} \subset G$.

Proof. We use the fact that \mathbb{R}^n is a normal space: every two disjoint closed sets of \mathbb{R}^n have disjoint open neighborhoods.

Note that $\overline{G_1}$ and G^c (complement of G) are disjoint closed sets. Thus there are disjoint open sets G_2, G_3 such that $\overline{G_1} \subset G_2$ and $G^c \subset G_3$. Note that $G_2 \cap G_3 = \emptyset$ implies $G_2 \subset G_3^c$ and $G^c \subset G_3$ implies $G_3^c \subset G$. Further-

more G_3^c is closed. Then

$$\overline{G_1} \subset G_2 \subset \overline{G_2} \subset G_3^c \subset G.$$

□

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1 &= \inf\{|x - y| : x \in G_1, y \in G_2^c\} = \text{dist}(G_1, \partial G_2) \\ \epsilon_2 &= \inf\{|x - y| : x \in G_2, y \in G^c\} = \text{dist}(G_2, \partial G)\end{aligned}$$

and let $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$.

By Question 4(c), we can choose $K \in C_0^\infty$ with $\text{supp}(K) = \overline{B_\epsilon(0)}$. Since K is continuous with compact support, K is integrable. By multiplying with a suitable constant, we can further assume that $\int K = 1$.

Let $h(x) = (\chi_{G_2} * K)(x)$. Theorem 9.3 tells us that $h \in C^\infty$, since $\chi_{G_2} \in L^1$ as G_2 is a bounded set.

Let $x \in G_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}h(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{G_2}(x - t) K(t) dt \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \chi_{G_2}(x - t) K(t) dt && (\text{since } \text{supp}(K) = \overline{B_\epsilon(0)}) \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} K(t) dt && (\text{since } x - t \in G_2 \text{ for } |t| < \epsilon) \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $x \in G^c$, then

$$\begin{aligned}h(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \chi_{G_2}(x - t) K(t) dt \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \chi_{G_2}(x - t) K(t) dt \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

since $x - t \notin G_2$ for $|t| < \epsilon$.

9.3 Q6

Let $K(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * K)(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-t)K(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-t) dt \right| M \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 M \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So $f * K$ is bounded.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since K is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}^n , there exists $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $|x - y| < \delta$, then $|K(x) - K(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{\|f\|_1 + 1}$.

Then for all $|x - y| < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * K)(x) - (f * K)(y)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)K(x-t) dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)K(y-t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t)[K(x-t) - K(y-t)] dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\|f\|_1 + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(t)| dt \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{\|f\|_1 + 1} \|f\|_1 \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f * K$ is uniformly continuous.

9.4 Q7

It is shown in the textbook that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) P_y(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } y > 0.$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) f(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) P_y(x - t) dt = 0.$$

Lemma 9.4.1. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) dt.$

Proof. Let $y > 0$. By definition,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_y(x + h - t) - P_y(x - t)}{h}.$$

Let (h_n) be a sequence tending to 0, $h_n \neq 0$, and define

$$\phi_n(x, t) = \frac{P_y(x + h_n - t) - P_y(x - t)}{h_n}.$$

It follows that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x, t).$

Using Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n(x, t)| &= \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(c - t) \right| \quad \text{for some } c \in (x, x + h_n) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $R > 0$ be arbitrary. For $|x| \leq R$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) \right| &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{y}{y^2 + (x - t)^2} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \frac{1}{y^2 + (x - t)^2} \cdot \frac{-2y(x - t)}{y^2 + (x - t)^2} \right| \quad (\text{Quotient Rule}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{y^2 + (x - t)^2} \right) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \left| \frac{2y(x - t)}{y^2 + (x - t)^2} \right| \leq 1 \text{ by the inequality } 2|ab| \leq a^2 + b^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{y^2 + (\max\{|t| - R, 0\})^2} := g(t). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if R is sufficiently large, for $|x| > R$, $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) \right|$ is arbitrarily small since $\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{y^2 + (x - t)^2} \right)$ decays to 0 as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Next, note that $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ implies $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$, where q is the Hölder conjugate of p . Explanation: $\int |g|^q \leq \|g\|_\infty^{q-1} \int |g| < \infty$.

Hence $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q < \infty$ by Hölder's inequality. Hence $|f(t)\phi_n(x, t)| \leq |f(t)g(t)|$ where fg is integrable. Thus, by Lebesgue's DCT,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\phi_n(x, t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x, t) dt.$$

Since $y > 0$ and $R > 0$ are arbitrary,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) P_y(x - t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t) dt$$

holds for the upper half-plane. \square

Note that the main argument above is to find an integrable function that dominates $|f(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_y(x - t)|$.

Similarly, we can prove $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P_y(x - t) dt$ and the analogous statements for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y)$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(x, y)$.

Briefly, since the Poisson kernel is smooth, all derivatives of it are bounded on all compact subsets of the upper half-plane. Furthermore, it decays to zero as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, with faster decay for higher-order derivatives. Thus our dominating function $g(t)$ (multiplied by a constant) works for all derivatives.

9.5 Q8

We note that for $s > 0$,

$$K(s, t) = K(s \cdot 1, s \cdot t/s) = s^{-1} K(1, t/s).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(Tf)(s) &= \int_0^\infty f(t)s^{-1}K(1, t/s) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty f(ts)s^{-1}K(1, t)s dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty f(ts)K(1, t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

(**Case:** $1 \leq p < \infty$.) Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tf\|_p &= \left(\int \left| \int_0^\infty f(ts)K(1, t) dt \right|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \left(\int \left(\int_0^\infty |f(ts)K(1, t)| dt \right)^p ds \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\int |f(ts)K(1, t)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} dt \\
&\quad \text{(by Minkowski's integral inequality)} \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\int |f(ts)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} K(1, t) dt \\
&= \int_0^\infty \left(\int t^{-1} |f(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} K(1, t) dt \\
&= \|f\|_p \int_0^\infty t^{-1/p} K(1, t) dt \\
&= \gamma \|f\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

(**Case:** $p = \infty$.)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tf\|_\infty &= \text{ess sup} \left| \int_0^\infty f(ts)K(1, t) dt \right| \\
&\leq \|f\|_\infty \left| \int_0^\infty K(1, t) dt \right| \\
&= \gamma \|f\|_\infty. \quad \text{(since } \int_0^\infty K(1, t) dt = \gamma \text{ for } p = \infty)
\end{aligned}$$

9.6 Q11

(Case: $\gamma \neq 0$).

Consider $g(x) = K(x)/\gamma$. Then $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g = 1$. Let $g_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n}g(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon - \gamma f\|_p &= \|f * K_\epsilon - \gamma f\|_p \\ &= |\gamma| \|f * g_\epsilon - f\|_p \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{By Theorem 9.6})$$

(Case: $\gamma = 0$).

Let $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h = 1$. Then $K + h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (K + h) = 1$. Let $h_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n}h(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon - \gamma f\|_p &= \|f * K_\epsilon\|_p \\ &= \|f * (K_\epsilon + h_\epsilon) - f + f - f * h_\epsilon\|_p \\ &\leq \|f * (K + h)_\epsilon - f\|_p + \|f * h_\epsilon - f\|_p \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{By Theorem 9.6})$$

Analogous results for Theorems 9.8, 9.9 and 9.13 can be obtained by replacing $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ by $f_\epsilon \rightarrow \gamma f$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The proof is similar to that of the generalized Theorem 9.6.

9.7 Q13

Note that $|I_{k,j}|^{-1} = 2^k$, and

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} 2^k \int_{I_{k,j}} f(t) dt \chi_{I_{k,j}}(x).$$

Lemma 9.7.1. $f_k \in L^p(0, 1)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 |f_k(x)|^p dx &= \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} 2^k \int_{I_{k,j}} f(t) dt \chi_{I_{k,j}}(x) \right|^p dx \\
&= 2^{kp} \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \int_{I_{k,j}} \left| \int_{I_{k,j}} f(t) dt \right|^p dx \\
&\leq 2^{kp} \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \int_{I_{k,j}} \left[\int_{I_{k,j}} |f(t)| dt \right]^p dx \\
&\leq 2^{kp} \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \int_{I_{k,j}} \left[\left(\int_{I_{k,j}} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{I_{k,j}} |1|^{p'} dt \right)^{1/p'} \right]^p dx \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{(By Hölder's inequality)} \\
&= 2^{kp} \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \int_{I_{k,j}} \left[\left(\int_{I_{k,j}} |f(t)|^p dt \right) 2^{-kp/p'} \right] dx \\
&= 2^{kp-k-kp/p'} \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \int_{I_{k,j}} |f(t)|^p dt \\
&= \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt \\
&= \|f\|_p^p < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

By Lebesgue's Differentiation Theorem, $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. By Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 |f|^p &\leq \liminf \int_0^1 |f_k|^p \\
&\leq \limsup \int_0^1 |f_k|^p \\
&\leq \int_0^1 |f|^p. \hspace{10em} \text{(since } \int_0^1 |f_k|^p \leq \int_0^1 |f|^p \text{ for all } k)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|f_k\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$.

Using Chapter 8 Exercise 12 (If $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. and $\|f_k\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$, $0 < p < \infty$, then $\|f - f_k\|_p \rightarrow 0$), we can conclude that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(0, 1)$ norm.